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1.0 SUMMARY

The Kingurutik property is located approximately 60 km north of the world class Voisey’s Bay nickel-copper-cobalt deposit. The property is dominated by plutonic rocks of the Nain Plutonic Suite (NPS) which is Mesoproterozoic in age. The geology comprises mainly anorthosite and leuconorite with varying textures, layering and intrusive contacts.

Two man-days were spent prospecting licence 13595M. Rocks were mainly coarse grained leuconorite with no visible sulphides. There were no samples taken during the program due mainly to lack of mineralization.

Based on the prospecting program completed on this licence and after analysis of the previous airborne geophysical survey, further work could include a more detailed mapping program and a deep-seeking geophysical survey to further access the depth potential of this leuconorite body.
2.0 INTRODUCTION

During the summer of 2010, a prospecting program was competed over the Kingurutik Project area. This report outlines the results of the prospecting program and geological research for the Kingurutik property.

3.0 LOCATION AND ACCESS

The Kingurutik property (Figure 1) is located approximately 60 km north of the world class Voisey’s Bay nickel-copper-cobalt deposit. Geographic coordinates of the property centre are approximately 6,310,500 N and 543,870 E. The property covers an area approximately 27 km by 20 km and all licences are contained on mapsheets 14E/01, 14E/02, 14D/14 and 14D/15. The exploration program was based from Celtic Minerals Camp at Staghorn Lake with coordinates 6,320,200 N and 525,500 E. Access to the property is by helicopter from Happy Valley - Goose Bay or Nain.

4.0 PHYSIOGRAPHY AND GLACIATION

Topography is quite variable ranging from 1000 meters in the northern area to 60 meters above sea level in the eastern property area. The area is typical of a glaciated terrain with the erosional surface consisting of undulating hills and rugged hilltops with sparse tree cover, mainly in the valleys. There are several river valleys scattered throughout the property that are generally covered with mainly black spruce, fir, tamarack and shrubs. There are several lakes, ponds and marshy areas covering the Kingurutik property. Numerous outcrops are prominent at the higher elevated area, striated and polished suggesting a west–southwest to east–northeast direction.

5.0 PROPERTY DESCRIPTION

The property comprises twenty three mineral licenses consisting of 2084 claims for a total combined area of 52,125 ha. The project is part of a “Participation Agreement” between Benton Resources Corp. (Benton) and Teck Cominco Limited (Teck) whereby Benton and Teck formed the Kingurutik Lake project by amalgamating and jointly exploring their land position in Labrador. One licence, 13595M, is described in this report (Figure 2). Table 1 summarizes this licence and ownership details.
Figure 2: Claim Location Map for Licence 13595M

Iglusuatiksuak Lake
Table 1: List of Property Licences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mineral Licence No.</th>
<th>No. of Claims</th>
<th>Licence Holder</th>
<th>Issue Date</th>
<th>NTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13595M</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Benton Resources Corp.</td>
<td>June 27, 2007</td>
<td>14D/16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.0 EXPLORATION HISTORY

Prior to acquisition by BTC in June of 2007, exploration work on the property was limited to regional geological mapping and geochemistry by the provincial geological survey, accompanied by limited regional prospecting/sampling programs by junior exploration companies. Until November 2006, this property was part of the Exempt Mineral Lands Agreement (EML) which was put in place during the Voisey’s Bay staking rush.

Several sulphide occurrences have been documented by Ryan throughout the Kingurutik Project area. These are described as gossanous zones spread over the sides of valleys in the Kingurutik Lake area (Ryan, 2004).

Limited regional exploration work was completed by International CanAlaska Resources (ICR), Castle Rock Exploration (CRE), NDT Ventures Ltd. and Consolidated Magna Ventures Ltd (CMV). The work targeted magmatic Ni-Cu-Co mineralization similar to the Voisey’s Bay deposit type. The best results were obtained by Castle Rock Exploration at the NBK showing which returned grab samples of 1.7% Ni, 1.9% Cu and 0.23% Co. The majority of this work was carried out at the eastern area of the property and by ICR and CRE (Cavalero, 1997 and O’Sullivan, 1996). NDT and CMV exploration work occurred prior to the EML Agreement and was mainly regional work with little exploration success (Burns, etal 1996 and Reeves, 1996).

As part of the Participation Agreement between Teck and Benton, Teck completed two seasons of exploration work on the Kingurutik Project (LeClair 2007, 2009). Both companies completed an initial airborne electromagnetic and magnetic geophysical survey to help identify potential economic grades of nickel, copper and cobalt (LeClair 2008). Following the results of this program, Teck completed a ground mapping and prospecting program to follow-up on the surveys. This was subsequently followed up by cut grids and detailed ground geophysical surveys which resulted in identifying several zones, including the P Zone, Black Beard, Red Beard and Bull.
7.0 REGIONAL GEOLOGY

The Nain and Churchill Province’s are two of five structural provinces which constitute Labrador. The Nain Province is divided into the northern Sagleq block and the southern Hopedale block and consists of a complex assemblage of mafic to intermediate banded gneisses intruded by plutons of metagranite and metagranodiorite. It contains several intrusive assemblages including Nain Plutonic Suite (NPS) and the Harp Intrusive Suite (HIS). The Churchill Province consists of igneous gneisses, both mafic to felsic in composition along with a distinct paragneiss unit termed the Tasiuyak Gneiss containing graphite and disseminated sulphides.

The Kingurutik property is dominated by plutonic rocks of the NPS which is Mesoproterozoic in age (Figure 3). The geology comprises mainly anorthosite and leuconorite with varying textures, layering and intrusive contacts. A unit of mafic/ultramafic gneiss outcrops in the south-central area of the property, being Archean/Paleoproterozoic in age.

8.0 2010 EXPLORATION

In August, 2010, a two day prospecting program was completed on licence 13595M. This program was included as part of a larger diamond drill program which concentrated on known mineralization previously observed in the Kingurutik project by Teck Resources Ltd. The objective was to identify mineralization in the previously mapped leuconorite rocks. Results of the program are discussed below.

8.1 Prospecting and Geological Program

Two man-days were spent prospecting licence 13595M. There were no samples taken during the program due mainly to lack of mineralization. Traverses were tracked and concentrated on the contact areas from previous mapping as well as along streambeds where rock exposure was more prominent (Figure 4). Rocks were mainly coarse grained leuconorite with no visible sulphides resulting in no samples being taken.

8.2 Statement of Expenditures

Total eligible expenditures related to the exploration program, including GST/HST, are $5,780.00. With the allowed 15% mark up for administrative costs brings the total eligible assessment expenditure to $6,647.00. The summary of expenditures by licence is presented in Appendix II.
Figure 3: Geology Map for Licence 13595M
Figure 4: Traverse Location Map for Licence 13595M
9.0 DISCUSSION

Two man-days were spent prospecting and the rocks were mainly coarse grained leuconorite with no visible sulphides resulting in no samples being taken. Traverses were completed in the southern area of the licence and throughout the central and northern extents.

Field evidence suggests that the leuconorite present on this licence, being part of the NPS, has the potential to host magmatic Ni-Cu-Co mineralization.

10.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The Kingurutik Project has been subjected to an extensive airborne geophysical survey with a follow-up ground geochemical and mapping/prospecting program. Although a two-day prospecting program did not find any mineralization within the leuconorite, a more detailed mapping program could better define the contact relationships within this licence.

Based on the prospecting program completed on this licence and after analysis of the previous airborne geophysical survey, further work could include a more detailed mapping program and a deep-seeking geophysical survey to further access the depth potential of this leuconorite body.

Respectfully Submitted:
Benton Resources Corp.

Stephen House, B.Sc., P. Geo.
Project Manager
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APPENDIX I

LIST OF PERSONNEL AND CONTRACTORS
BENTON – KINGURUTIK PROPERTY

### PERSONNEL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Steve House</td>
<td>Gander, NL</td>
<td>Geologist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matthew Spencer</td>
<td>St. John’s, NL</td>
<td>Student Geologist</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### CONTRACTORS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aivek Holdings Inc.</td>
<td>Goose Bay, NL</td>
<td>Expediting Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Universal Helicopters</td>
<td>Goose Bay, NL</td>
<td>Helicopter Services</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX II

STATEMENT OF EXPENDITURES
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Licence</th>
<th>Prospecting</th>
<th>Prospector</th>
<th>Prospecting Wages</th>
<th>Management</th>
<th>Geologist</th>
<th>Geology Wages</th>
<th>Rock</th>
<th>Rock Sample Cost</th>
<th>Core Samples</th>
<th>Core Cost</th>
<th>Core Sample Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13595M</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$430.00</td>
<td>$860.00</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$530.00</td>
<td>$1,060.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$51.25</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$5,780.00</td>
<td>$867.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTALS</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$860.00</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$1,060.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Licence</th>
<th>Metallics Sample Cost</th>
<th>Airborne Survey</th>
<th>Expenses/camp/office supplies/rentals</th>
<th>Helicopter</th>
<th>Expediting (including fuel, air transport)</th>
<th>Diamond Drilling (m)</th>
<th>Drilling Cost</th>
<th>Subtotal All Work</th>
<th>15% Admin.</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13595M</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
<td>$3,060.00</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
<td>$5,780.00</td>
<td>$867.00</td>
<td>$6,647.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTALS</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
<td>$3,060.00</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$5,780.00</td>
<td>$867.00</td>
<td>$6,647.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>