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**Introduction**

The GG prospect is a large complex stratiform mafic/ultramafic magmatic deposit. At approximately 564200E and 6150460N. The GG prospect received some drilling but we do not know the # of holes drilled and we do not have access to the drill core at the present time. We gained a lot of knowledge on the area from reading a report written by my friend Andy Kerr and released in 2012. The GG property was staked in anticipation of a multifaceted and exciting exploration project for magmatic nickel sulphide deposits. The geology has similarities to the Voisey’s Bay deposit. It is approximately 90 km south of the Voisey’s Bay deposit (116.3 million tonnes averaging 1.71% Ni, 0.92% Cu and 0.09% Co, including 31.7 million tonnes averaging 2.83% Ni, 1.68% Cu, & 0.12% Co, INCO press release, 1998). The tonnage has recently been increased substantially at Voisey’s Bay to include eastern deeps deposit and other deep underground mineralization. The GG property is underlain by the Pants Lake Intrusions (PLI), the youngest member of the Nain Plutonic Suite (NPS), a classic undeformed Mesoproterozoic anorogenic plutonic terrane.

The four main subdivisions of the PLI are called the South intrusion, the Mineral Hill intrusion, the Worm intrusion and the North intrusion. The north intrusion is further divided into 3 lobes. The NDT Lope, GG lope and the Taheke Lake lobe. Two of the most common rock types are layered, fine-grained olivine gabbro and massive coarse-grained leucogabbro. Two other rock types of less abundance are melagabbro and peridotite forms a small unit. In addition to the above, several distinctive and unusual rock types referred to as the mineralized sequence that are always associated with sulfides occur within a thin sequence of rocks at, or just above, basal contact zones, particularly in the North intrusion.

The property was staked to try and find geophysical and geochemical anomalies for drill testing. Shallow drilling of these anomalies was planned where possible.

The information contained in this report is based on my opinions and may not be the opinion of a professional geologist. The graphics are not necessarily to scale, in the correct direction or exact location.
Location

The GG property is approximately 90-100 kms south of Voisey’s Bay and is on license 022258M. See the following for a graphical representation of the property.

Figure 1 (Claims Staked)
The following is an aerial view of the general area from Google Earth.

Figure 2 (Google Earth View)
Access

The property is accessible by helicopter or dog team.
Previous Work

There has been a lot of work done in this map area by numerous companies and prospectors. After the Voisey’s Bay discovery quite a bit of exploration occurred in Labrador. There was not enough boots on the ground exploration in my humble opinion. Most exploration racked up huge costs just flying around in helicopters looking for rusty gossans. The area still needs some solid hours logged on the ground to find more showings and do ground magnetometer work.

The areas was previously staked by a bunch of companies that teamed up to do exploration there with positive results. When the main showings opened for staking we staked them. Commander Resources then encompassed our claims with new staking. They are supposed to do exploration up there this fall. We have our fingers crossed and are hoping they well make a major discovery and will want to option our land. Sadly as our land has been surrounded by Commander’s claims they are not attractive to other companies at this point in time.
Observations and Compilations

We spent many days and nights compiling data, drawings, maps, interpreted cross sections, planning campsites, traverse routes, GPS coordinates for soil sampling program and magnetometer program. Determining required level of support, supplies and equipment. Several days where spent talking with 3 companies that could fly us in and out and support us during the exploration endeavor.

In the end we were denied the opportunity to prospect on the big land. Our dreams were crushed and the companies we worked with are very disappointed in us due to the time and resources they used as they needed to pay employees for the time they spent planning with us. The Department of Natural Resources refused us the opportunity to do exploration there.
Recommendations:

I recommend doing some geophysics on these claims we may be able to identify some geophysical anomalies and trends. I also recommend a soil grid and trenching any anomalies. The areas where anomalies are present should be drill tested. Commander Resources should be contacted again to see if they are interested in optioning these claims as they are planning exploration in the area and have our claims encompassed.

I recommend the Department of Natural Resources give prospectors the choice to prospect where they want to prospect. To let them use free will and make their own decisions stop micromanaging every aspect of a prospectors activities. We need the ability to act at our own discretion. We need the ability to choose between different possible courses of action and exploration techniques based on what we see in the field not based on what some city slicker sitting behind a desk in St. John’s tells us we need to do.
Conclusions:

There is no secret that the area holds great nickel values. Drilling has intersected local narrow zones of massive sulphide mineralization at the base of the black gabbro near the exposed northern limit of the PLI. These sulphides have locally returned Ni and Cu grades comparable to the Voisey’s Bay deposit. Sulphide intersections encountered during the 1997 drilling program (See Kerr, 2012 for location of drill holes) include 0.65 m assaying 1.93% Ni, 1.07% Cu and 0.26% Co in hole 97-67, 15.7 m averaging 1.13% Ni, 0.78% Cu, 0.20% Co in hole 97-96, and 1.1 m of 11.9% Ni, 9.6% Cu, and 0.43% Co in hole 97-75. Other intersections included an upper zone of massive sulphides located at the gabbro’s basal contact assaying 4.5% Ni, 2.6% Cu and 0.28% Co over 0.20 m and a second zone of massive sulphides occurring approximately 10 m below the gabbro/gneiss contact assaying 3.4 % Ni, 0.5% Cu and 0.46% Co (Donner Minerals/Teck, 1999).

Economic Potential

The North intrusion mineralized sequence includes rock types that are strikingly similar to those associated with economically important high-grade sulphide mineralization at Voisey’s Bay. A key point of similarity is the evidence for interaction with, and assimilation of, pelitic to psammitic country rock gneisses, which contain sulphides and graphite. From the perspective of metallogenesis, data from the PLI support several key concepts proposed in models for the formation of the Voisey’s Bay deposit. Critical factors at Pants Lake include the presence of sulphide-bearing country rocks, and suitable parental magmas, both of which provide general exploration guides for further work in Labrador. The PLI represent an enormous target area compared to Voisey’s Bay, and considerable ‘room’ remains for exploration in future years (Kerr, 2012).

In conclusion I believe there is considerable economic potential for the land we staked. I concur with Mr. Andy Kerr that considerable ‘room’ remains for exploration in future years. The government needs to allow us to get boots on the ground on this site so we can find new showings and get ground geophysics done. We want to be prospectors, we don’t want to be promoters, so let us prospect.
Contact Information:

Stephen Stockley  
P.O. Box 33  
Gambo, NL  
A0G 1T0

Cell:  (709) 424-5333

Email: stockleysteve@hotmail.com

Statement:

This report was written based on my knowledge as a genuine prospector, the rocks I seen in the field and knowledge I gained reading books on metallic deposits.
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### Personnel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Person</th>
<th>Work Type</th>
<th># of days</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stephen Stockle</td>
<td>Data/Report/Plan</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troy Stockley</td>
<td>Data/Report/Plan</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Stockley</td>
<td>Data/Report/Plan</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>1000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Meals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Person</th>
<th>Work Type</th>
<th># of days</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stephen Stockle</td>
<td>Data/Report/Plan</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troy Stockley</td>
<td>Data/Report/Plan</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Stockley</td>
<td>Data/Report/Plan</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Vehicles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Work Type</th>
<th># of days</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Car/Truck</td>
<td>Prospecting/Report</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Fuel Costs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bill #</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Overhead/Office

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supplies/Computer/Phone/Administration</th>
<th>approx. 15%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>150</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL 1150.00**
Stephen Stockley
2A Bowes Street
Gander, NL
A1V 1E6

Dear Mr. Stockley:

The Prospector Assistance Program Selection Committee completed its review of your grant application. Based on this review, the Pants Lake mineral licenses are not eligible for funding for the following reason:

1. There has been significant exploration and geological work carried out in the Pants Lake region since the discovery of the Voisey’s Bay Deposit in the early 1990’s. While the mineralized basal contact of the Pants Lake Intrusion is prospective, it is the opinion of the Committee that this target requires advanced exploration techniques rather than early-stage prospecting activities as proposed.

Should you wish to discuss this matter further, please feel free to contact me at the number and/or email listed below. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dale O’Reilly, P.Geo.
Mineral Incentive Geologist